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#### Abstract

The island of Sulawesi, in Indonesia, lies at the crossroads of the Indo-Australian Archipelago and has remained isolated from the Asian (Sunda) and Australian (Sahul) continental shelves for at least the last 10 million years. Of the 50 native species of rodents on Sulawesi, all are endemic and represent the evolution of a variety of ecological and morphological forms within the Muridae and Sciuridae. Carnivorous rodents have evolved, perhaps independently, in Muridae from the Philippines, Sulawesi, and Sahul, but semi-aquatic murids are only known from Sahul. Here we describe a new genus and species of insectivorous water rat from Sulawesi. Phylogenetic analyses demonstrate that it is related to the shrew rats of Sulawesi and represents an origin of aquatic carnivory that is independent from the evolution of water rats on Sahul. Many areas of Sulawesi have not been surveyed systematically and current lists of mammal species are likely to dramatically underestimate actual diversity.
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## Introduction

The Indo-Australian Archipelago is a major area of biogeographic interchange (Lohman et al. 2011) and of significant interest to evolutionary biologists because it provides numerous systems for testing the effects of climatic variation, geological evolution, and isolation on the processes of diversification and community assembly (Heaney 1986; Evans et al. 2003; Brown et al. 2013). The region encompasses the Asian (Sunda) and Australian (Sahul) continental shelves as well as oceanic archipelagos (Philippines, Wallacea) that have never been connected by land to any continent. The island of Sulawesi is the largest landmass between the Sunda and Sahul shelves and was not connected to either during low sea stands of the last 10 million years (Scotese et al. 1988; Hall 1998, 2012; Rohling et al. 1998; Voris 2000). The combination of a large, topographically complex island that has remained isolated by water barriers, yet is proximally located between two continental landmasses has produced high levels of endemism and a unique mixture of Australian and Asian lineages.

Rodents of the family Muridae comprise over $30 \%$ of known mammal species on Sulawesi (Musser \& Carleton 2005; Musser et al. 2010; Esselstyn et al. 2012; Mortelliti et al. 2012; Musser 2014). All of the island's murid species are endemic, as are 11 of the 14 murid genera, highlighting the degree to which the rats and mice of Sulawesi represent an endemic radiation. This diversity includes a wide range of eco-morphological forms that have been recapitulated in other, independent radiations of murid rodents (Rowe et al. 2008). Examples of this recapitulation include the carnivorous (we use 'carnivorous' to describe animals that primarily eat Metazoans; we consider 'invertebrate-eating' and 'insectivory' to represent nested subcategories of carnivory) rodents of the Philippines (e.g. Chrotomys, Rhynchomys, Soricomys; Musser 1982; Jansa et al. 2006) and New Guinea (e.g. Pseudohydromys; Jackson \& Woolley 1993; Flannery 1995; Helgen \& Helgen 2009). On New Guinea, some carnivorous rodents are semi-aquatic, and known commonly as water rats (e.g. Baiyankamys, Crossomys,

Hydromys, Parahydromys). All New Guinea water rats share the derived morphological features of a broad muzzle, stiff vibrissae, large, basin-shaped molars, and large, webbed hindfeet (Helgen 2005). High elevation species are found in the genera Baiyankamys and Crossomys; they are small, have soft grey fur, and small pinnae. Lowland species are found in Hydromys and Parahydromys; they are large, with brown, glossy fur and large pinnae.

Semi-aquatic mammals are common on most continents and have evolved several times in multiple orders (Veron et al. 2008). Among rodents, semi-aquatic species have evolved in at least eight families. However, semiaquatic carnivory occurs in only a few small-bodied mammals in the orders Soricomorpha (e.g., Chimarrogale, Desmana, Galemys, Nectogale, Neomys, Sorex palustris), Tenrecomorpha (Limnogale, Micropotamogale, Potamogale), and Rodentia (Voss 1988). In rodents, semi-aquatic carnivory has evolved independently in New Guinea (Baiyankamys, Crossomys, Hydromys, Parahydromys; Majnep \& Bulmer, 1990; Flannery 1995; Olsen 2008), Africa (Colomys, Nilopegamys; Kerbis Peterhans \& Patterson, 1995), and South America (Ichthyomyini; Voss 1988; Barnett, 1995). Voss (1988) identified several convergent morphological traits shared among these taxa that appear to be common adaptations to semi-aquatic carnivory. These traits include (1) stiff mystacial vibrissae, (2) reduction of the philtrum, (3) reduced pinnae, (4) fringes of hair on the margins of the hindfeet, (5) webbed hindfeet, (6) increased length and density of hairs on the ventral surface of the tail, (7) elongation of the lateral metatarsals, (8) large infraorbital foramina, and (9) a large foramen magnum.

Here we describe a new genus and species of semi-aquatic, invertebrate-eating rodent from the island of Sulawesi, Indonesia. Our phylogenetic analyses demonstrate that the new species is not a close relative of other semi-aquatic murids and hence represents another independent origin of traits commonly associated with a semiaquatic, carnivorous lifestyle (e.g. Voss 1988).

## Materials and methods

Fieldwork. A single specimen of the new genus and species was caught by hand in a small stream while we were conducting terrestrial mammal surveys in May 2012 around Mount Gandangdewata in the Quarles Range of the western highlands region of Sulawesi Barat, Indonesia (Barat = West; Figure 1, 2).


FIGURE 1. Maps of (a) Southeast Asia, showing the position of Sulawesi Island and (b) Sulawesi, showing the type locality of Waiomys mamasae (Mt. Gandangdewata [diamond]).


FIGURE 2. Stream on Mount Gandangdewata where type specimen was collected. Specimen was collected within 20 meters where the photo was taken. Shallow riffles shown in photo are consistent with the description of the site by locals who caught the animal. Photograph by Kevin C. Rowe.

Tissue sampling and genetic analysis. Tissue samples were collected in the field and stored in 70\% ethanol. We sequenced four unlinked autosomal nuclear exons: (1) exon 11 of breast cancer 1 (BRCA1); (2) exon 1 of retinol binding protein 3 (IRBP); (3) exon 1 of recombination activating gene 1 (RAG1); and (4) exon 1 of growth hormone receptor (GHR). We also sequenced the mitochondrial cytochrome $b$ gene. All loci were amplified and sequenced using published primers following standard procedures described previously (Rowe et al. 2008, 2011). We aligned sequences from the new species to those from 109 other species representing most major divisions within the subfamily Murinae (Appendix B). To root the phylogeny we included outgroups from the subfamilies Gerbillinae ( 2 species) and Deomyinae ( 3 species). We obtained previously published sequences from GenBank for most species in this study, but generated new sequences for 24 species including the first sequences of the New Guinea earless water rat (Crossomys moncktoni) and the few-toothed Sulawesi shrew rat (Paucidentomys vermidax). All new DNA sequences were deposited in GenBank (accession numbers KJ607263-KJ607322; Appendix B). We aligned sequences in CodonCode ver. 4.1.1 (CodonCode Corporation, Dedham, MA, USA) and manually inspected alignments in MacClade v.4.08 (Maddison \& Maddison 2003). We conducted phylogenetic analyses using MrBayes ver. 3.2.2 (Huelsenbeck \& Ronquist 2001; Ronquist \& Huelsenbeck 2003; Ronquist et al. 2012) and RAxML ver. 7.6 .3 (Stamatakis 2006). We implemented all phylogenetic analyses on the CIPRES online portal (Miller et al. 2010). We determined appropriate DNA sequence partitions and models using PartitionFinder ver. 1.1.1 (Lanfear et al. 2012) and applied these models to both Bayesian and maximum likelihood analyses. We set parameters in MrBayes following Rowe et al. (2011) and with two independent runs each with four chains for 40 million generations. We conducted RAxML analyses with 1000 bootstrap replicates using the models selected by PartitionFinder.

Morphometric measurements. Specimens examined in this study are deposited in the collections of the American Museum of Natural History, New York (AMNH), the Australian Museum, Sydney (AM), the Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago (FMNH), Museum Victoria, Melbourne (NMV), and Museum Zoologicum Bogoriense, Bogor (MZB). Registration numbers for all specimens are listed in Appendix A.

Phylogenetic analyses, presented below, supported a closer relationship of the new species with terrestrial, invertebrate-eating rodents (shrew rats) of Sulawesi than to Sahulian water rats and their terrestrial carnivorous relatives, or to Philippine shrew rats. Thus, we made qualitative and quantitative morphological comparisons between the new genus and specimens of all genera of shrew rats of Sulawesi, including the species Echiothrix leucura Gray 1867, Melasmothrix naso Miller \& Hollister 1921, Paucidentomys vermidax Esselstyn et al. 2012, Sommeromys macrorhinos Musser \& Durden 2002, Tateomys macrocercus Musser 1982, and Tatetomys rhinogradoides Musser 1969. However, because the new species is eco-morphologically similar to the carnivorous water rats of New Guinea, we also made comparisons to representatives of these species to qualitatively assess the degree of convergence. These species include Baiyankamys shawmayeri (Hinton 1943), Crossomys moncktoni Thomas, 1907, Hydromys chrysogaster E. Geoffroy 1804, Hydromys hussoni Musser \& Piik 1982, and Parahydromys asper (Thomas 1907).

We collected twenty-four cranial and dental measurements from the cleaned skulls of specimens using digital calipers (precise to 0.01 mm ): greatest length of skull (GLS), zygomatic breadth (ZB), interorbital breadth (IB), length of the rostrum (LR), breadth of the rostrum (BR), breadth of the zygomatic plate (BZP), breadth of the braincase ( BBC ), height of the braincase ( HBC ), length of diastema (LD), post-palatal length (PPL), length of incisive foramina (LIF), breadth of incisive foramina (BIF), length of bony palate (LBP), breadth of mesopterygoid fossa (BMF), length of auditory bulla (LB), length of nasal (LON), crown length of the first maxillary molar (CLMM), breadth of the first maxillary molar (BUM), breadth of the upper incisor (BUI), depth of the upper incisor (DUI), length of the dentary including the incisor (LDII), length of the dentary excluding the incisor (LDEI), crown length of the first mandibular molar (CLMaM), breadth of the first mandibular molar (BLM). We also report standard external measurements collected from freshly caught specimens, including total length (TTL), tail length (Tail), head and body length (HB) hind-foot length including the claws (HF), ear length (Ear), and mass (Mass) in grams. Measurements follow those diagrammed in Musser \& Heaney (1992) and Musser \& Durden (2002). External measurements were taken from specimen tags and the field notes of collectors.

## Results

Phylogenetic analyses. Concatenation of the four exons and one mitochondrial locus resulted in an alignment consisting of 5,787 nucleotides. Partitionfinder analyses identified eight optimal partitions of the data. Partitions did not correspond to individual gene fragments, but represented combinations of codon positions with similar substitution rates across genes (Table 1). Partitionfinder estimated that a GTR substitution model was the best model for six partitions, whereas it selected HKY and SYM substitution models as the best fits for the remaining two partitions. For all partitions the best model included a GAMMA-distributed rate heterogeneity parameter. For four models, partitionfinder also identified that the best model included a proportion of invariable sites parameter. However, this model is not recommended for use with RAxML (Stamatakis 2006) and was only used in the MrBayes analyses.

Both Bayesian and maximum likelihood (ML) analyses strongly supported the phylogenetic placement of the Sulawesi water rat close to our limited sample of the shrew rats of Sulawesi (Figure 3; Melasmothrix naso and Paucidentomys vermidax). Phylogenetic data were not available for other shrew rats of Sulawesi (i.e. Echiothrix, Sommeromys, and Tateomys) and their placement within Murinae remains uncertain. Because these species may form a clade of invertebrate-eating specialists on Sulawesi, we compare the morphology of the Sulawesi water rat to all Sulawesi shrew rats in the formal diagnosis (see below). The clade of the Sulawesi water rat, Melasmothrix, and Paucidentomys was recovered as sister to a clade containing genera of the Dacnomys and Rattus Divisions (Musser \& Carleton 2005). This is similar to the placement of Melasmothrix in past inferences (Rowe et al. 2008). As previously reported, the water rats of New Guinea, in the Hydromys Division, were recovered as part of a radiation of 'old endemic' rodents from the Sahul region (Rowe et al. 2008) and sister to the Xeromys Division that includes the terrestrial carnivorous genera Pseudohydromys and Leptomys. In this analysis we also included a montane water rat from New Guinea, Crossomys moncktoni, which was recovered as sister to the lowland water


FIGURE 3. Phylogenetic position of Waiomys mamasae in Murinae, inferred with Bayesian analysis of a partitioned concatenation of 4 nuclear loci and 1 mitochondrial locus. Nodes supported by $>95 \%$ Bayesian posterior probability and $>90 \%$ maximum likelihood bootstrap value are marked with black circles.
rats of New Guinea, Hydromys and Parahydromys. We did not include any Baiyankamys in our analysis, but several putative synapomorphies, in particular, absence of the third lower molar and presence of a masseteric process, along with a suite of external characters (Helgen 2005), suggest that Baiyankamys is closely related to the other water rats of the Hydromys Division. Phylogenetically the Sulawesi water rat and the water rats of New Guinea are not closely related within Murinae. Phylogenetic analyses of individual gene trees were topologically consistent with our concatenated analyses. All gene trees thus supported a close relationship of the Sulawesi water rat with our sample of the shrew rats of Sulawesi and a distant relationship with the water rats of New Guinea (data not shown). Our phylogenetic analyses therefore strongly supported an origin of a semi-aquatic, carnivorous rat on Sulawesi that was independent from the evolution of the water rats of New Guinea.

TABLE 1. Eight partitions of the concatenated sequence data used in phylogenetic analyses.

| Partition | Best Model | Genes and coding positions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | GTR $+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ | IRBP_pos1, GHR_pos1, RAG1_pos1 |
| 2 | $\mathrm{GTR}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ | IRBP_pos2, GHR_pos2, RAG1_pos2 |
| 3 | $\mathrm{SYM}+\mathrm{G}$ | IRBP_pos3, RAG1_pos3 |
| 4 | $\mathrm{GTR}+\mathrm{G}$ | GHR_pos3, BRCA1_pos3 |
| 5 | $\mathrm{GTR}+\mathrm{G}$ | BRCA1_pos1, BRCA1_pos2 |
| 6 | $\mathrm{GTR}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ | CytB_pos1 |
| 7 | $\mathrm{GTR}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ | CytB_pos2 |
| 8 | $\mathrm{GTR}+\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{G}$ | CytB_pos3 |

## Systematics

## Waiomys new genus

Type species. Waiomys mamasae, the new species described below.
Diagnosis. A genus of rodent in the family Muridae, subfamily Murinae that possesses soft, fine, extremely dense grey-brown dorsal fur; similarly textured pale grey ventral fur; small eyes; short ears almost entirely covered dorsally by fur; long tail with white ventral vibrissae; broad, dorso-ventrally flattened muzzle; moderately dense facial vibrissae; long hindfeet that lack webbing or stiff hairs; one white spot ca. 10 mm in diameter on each side of the rump; absence of hypothenar pad on hindfoot; presence of large thenar pad on the lateral margin of the hindfoot; three molars in both maxillary and mandibular tooth rows; narrow incisors with pale orange enamel; absence of a masseteric process; large ovate infraorbital foramen; and large foramen magnum. Phylogenetic analyses place the genus in a clade containing the Sulawesi shrew rats Melasmothrix and Paucidentomys (Figure 3).

Etymology. The generic name combines the Mamasa Toraja (Gordon 2005) word 'wai' (water; pronounced 'why') with the Greek 'mys' (mouse) in reference to the semi-aquatic lifestyle of the animal and in recognition of the local Mamasan people who call the animal 'water rat' in their language, Mamasa Toraja.

Description. The same as for the only know species in the genus, which is described below.

## Waiomys mamasae sp. nov.

(Figs. 4-6)

Holotype. NMV C37027/MZB 37000, an adult male collected by hand on the night of 12 May 2012 while it was swimming in a shallow, fast flowing mountain stream (Figure 2, 4). The specimen was prepared as a dried skin, cleaned skull and fluid-preserved carcass. The carcass, tongue, and phallus were fixed in $4 \%$ formalin solution and later transferred to $70 \%$ ethanol for permanent storage. The skull was preserved in $70 \%$ ethanol, dried, cleaned by dermestid beetles, and degreased by immersion in $10 \%$ ammonia. Molars of the specimen are fully emerged.


FIGURE 4. Type specimen (NMV C37027/MZB 37000) in the field prior to preparation showing (a) specimen in live pose, (b) distal third of tail, (c) right plantar surface. Photographs by Kevin C. Rowe.

Type locality. Mount Gandangdewata ( $2.882898^{\circ} \mathrm{S}, 119.386448^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 1571 \mathrm{~m}$ ), Rantepangko, Mamasa, Sulawesi Barat, Indonesia (Figure 1).

Referred material. Only the holotype.
Distribution. Waiomys is known only from the type locality in lower montane rainforest of the Quarles Range of the western Sulawesi highlands.

Diagnosis. mamasae is the only known species in the genus Waiomys. Thus, generic and specific diagnoses are the same.

Etymology. The specific epiphet refers to the type locality, which is near the town of Mamasa. The local people who collected the type specimen and who had existing knowledge of the species, self-identify as Mamasan. Thus, the epithet also recognizes their knowledge and contribution to the scientific discovery of the species.

Description. Waiomys mamasae is a small, densely furred rat (Figure 4). The dorsal pelage is grey. The hairs of the underfur are $<10 \mathrm{~mm}$ in length and have a grey base and light brown tip ( $\sim 1-2 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). The sparse guard hairs are grey on the basal half, dark brown on the distal half, and approximately 10 mm in length, emerging only a few millimeters above the dorsal underfur. The dorsal fur protrudes nearly vertically from the skin and can be brushed naturally in any direction. The ventral fur is as soft and dense as the dorsal underfur and of similar length with fine white guard hairs emerging $<5 \mathrm{~mm}$ beyond the underfur. The base of the ventral underfur is dark grey whereas the distal quarter is a much paler grey. Both the dorsal and ventral coloration are relatively uniform across the head and body. The margin of the ventral fur is discrete and lies high on the body, extending from above the upper lips, at the midline of the nose, along the side of the body at the base of the limbs. A patch of ventral fur extends 15 mm up each side of the base of the tail and above the hindlimbs forming two conspicuous white spots on the rump. The dorsal fur extends to the fingers of the forefeet and to the ankles of the hindfeet.

The eyes are small ( $\sim 2 \mathrm{~mm}$ diameter) and almost indistinguishable amid the fur of the head. The pinnae are grey in color, short ( 11 mm ), heavily furred on the inner and outer surface, and nearly indistinguishable from the dorsal fur. The mystacial vibrissae are dense and stiff. A minority of the vibrissae approach 40 mm in length and extend beyond the pinnae, while the remaining whiskers are shorter at $\sim 5-25 \mathrm{~mm}$. The base of each vibrissa is black, but the distal quarter lacks pigmentation.


FIGURE 5. Images of the crania and mandibles of (a) Waiomys mamasae (NMV C37027/MZB 37000), (b) Tateomys rhinogradoides (FMNH 213388), (c) T. macrocercus (FMNH 213456), (d) Paucidentomys vermidax (FMNH 213102/MZB 35000), (e) Echiothrix centrosa (AMNH 225680), and (f) Melasmothrix naso (FMNH 213447). Photographs by Kevin C. Rowe and Rebecca Banasiak.


FIGURE 6. Images of the crania, mandibles, left maxillary molar row, and left mandibular molar row of (a) Waiomys mamasae (NMV C37027/MZB 37000), (b) Baiyankamys shawmayeri (AM-M8661) and (c) Crossomys moncktoni (AM-M8652). Maxillary molars for AM-M8661 were present in plate 24 (p. 525) of Flannery (1995) but have since been lost from the specimen. Photographs by Kevin C. Rowe.

The tail is nearly $25 \%$ longer than the head and body. The ventral surface of the tail is covered in stiff white vibrissae approximately 5 mm long (Figure 4c). The dorsal surface of the tail is grey-brown and similar to the color of the dorsal pelage. The tail tapers gently from the base to the tip. It is not substantially thickened or flattened and other than the ventral vibrissae, it is typical of murine rodents. The hindfeet are long ( $27.5 \%$ of head and body length) with short, but otherwise typical murine claws. They are light brown on the dorsal surface and dark brown on the plantar surface. The hindfeet lack the hypothenar pad (Figure 4c). On the plantar surface, the first interdigital pad and thenar pad abut each other and are positioned on the lateral margin of the foot. The forefeet are small with short typical murine claws and a nailed pollex fused to the side of the palm. The palmar surface consists of three interdigital pads, a large thenar pad, and a slightly smaller hypothenar.

The skull of Waiomys mamasae is delicate with few prominent ridges (Figure 5). However, a distinct occipital ridge and an almost indistinguishable temporal ridge are present. For a murine, the rostrum is moderate in length relative to the basicranial region, comprising approximately one-quarter of the total length of the skull (Table 2). The zygomatic arches are delicate and the large ovate infraorbital foramina make the zygomatic arches appear flared and squared off when viewing the skull from the dorsal or ventral aspect. The incisive foramina also are fairly broad ( 2.3 mm at their combined maximum breadth). The foramen magnum is large ( 6.17 mm in width) and equal to $42.6 \%$ of the breadth of the braincase. The occipital region is noticeably bulbous and contributes to the globose appearance of the braincase. The auditory bullae are small for a murine of this size ( $\mathrm{LB}=13 \%$ of GLS). The dentary is delicate. The angular process is narrow and elongate but otherwise the dentary is typical of murine rodents.

The upper incisors of Waiomys mamasae are narrow (each 0.5 mm wide at the root) and orthodont. The lower incisors are also narrow ( 0.7 mm at the base). The labial surfaces of the upper and lower incisors are pale orange. Three molars are present in both the maxillary (M1, M2 and M3) and mandibular rows ( $\mathrm{m} 1, \mathrm{~m} 2$ and m3). The occlusal surfaces of lower and upper molars form little more than basins outlining the basic murine molar cusp pattern (Figure 5) as a result of either tooth wear or an evolutionary trend toward simplification. The first and second upper molars are moderate in size for murine rodents (first upper molar 1.8 mm wide). As is the case for many murine species, the third molars, both upper and lower, are much smaller than the first and second molars.

The contents of the mouth and the nearly distended stomach of the type specimen were examined by R. Marchant (Terrestrial Invertebrates, Museum Victoria) and identified as consisting entirely of stream invertebrate larvae belonging to the families Simulidae (Black flies; genus Simulium) and Hydropsychidae (net-spinning caddisflies; genus Cheumatopsyche). The larvae of both genera are known to cling to the surface of stones in shallow areas of fast flowing water (Merritt \& Cummins 1996), suggesting that Waiomys dives and forages for food on the stream bottom. No plant material or terrestrial animal material was identified from the mouth or stomach.

Comparisons. Waiomys mamasae is sympatric with several other murine rodents where it was collected on Mount Gandangdewata (Figure 1). These include all but two species of an endemic group of invertebrate-eating rodents on Sulawesi that are referred to as shrew rats: Melasmothrix naso, Paucidentomys vermidax, Sommeromys macrorhinos, Tateomys macrocercus, Tateomys rhinogradoides, were all detected during our surveys on Mount Gandangdewata. However, there are no records of either Echiothrix centrosa or Echiothrix leucura in the Mount Gandangdewata region. The latter species is restricted to the northern peninsula east of Gorontalo, while the former has been documented from several sites in Central and West Sulawesi (Musser \& Carleton, 2005). Crunomys celebensis, another Sulawesi murine occasionally referred to as a 'shrew rat,' was recently shown to be closely related to species of Maxomys (Achmadi et al. 2013) and we therefore exclude it from comparisons.

In body size, Waiomys mamasae is much smaller than either species of Echiothrix, smaller than Tateomys rhinogradoides and Paucidentomys vermidax, and larger than Melasmothrix, Sommeromys, and Tateomys macrocercus. The dorsal fur of Waiomys has a similarly soft texture as that of Tateomys, but that of Waiomys is denser and paler in color. The ventral fur of Waiomys is also considerably denser and paler in color than any other Sulawesi murines. The two white patches on the rump of Waiomys are unique among murines and may represent eyespots.

Waiomys has a considerably broader and blunter rostrum than any of the shrew rats of Sulawesi. The mystacial vibrissae of Waiomys are denser and stiffer but not longer than in the shrew rats of Sulawesi. The ears of Waiomys are substantially shorter (in relative and absolute terms) and more densely furred than in any other murine on Sulawesi. The eyes of Waiomys are similar in size to those of much smaller animals, including Tateomys macrocercus and Sommeromys macrorhinos. The tail of Waiomys is similar in length and thickness to that of $T$.
rhinogradoides, but is more sparsely haired on the dorsal surface. Among all Sulawesi murines, only Waiomys possesses ventral vibrissae on the tail. The hindfeet of Waiomys are comparable in length to the larger Tateomys rhinogradoides, but the forefeet are considerably smaller and similar in size to the feet of the smaller $T$. macrocercus and S. macrorhinos. The claws on the forefeet are also much smaller than in T. rhinogradoides, $T$. macrocercus, or Melasmothrix naso, and are comparable in size to the claws of S. macrorhinos. The position of the thenar pad and first interdigital pad on the lateral margin of the plantar surface of the hindfeet in Waiomys is distinct from those of all other murines of Sulawesi. Among Sulawesi murines, only Waiomys lacks the hypothenar pad.

TABLE 2. External, cranial and dental measurements of Waiomys mamasae compared to the shrew rats of Sulawesi. (Shown are the mean, $\pm$ one standard deviation, and the range in parentheses).

| Genus Species | Waiomys mamasae | Melasmothrix naso | Sommeromys macrorhinos | Tateomys macrocercus | Tateomys rhinogradoides | Paucidentomys vermidax | Echiothrix leucura |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TTL | 288 | 206.67 | 282.5 | 278.00 | 204.50 | 362.5 | 460.25 |
|  |  | $\pm 7.77$ | $\pm 13.8$ | $\pm 2$ | $\pm 20.51$ | $\pm 7.78$ | $\pm 19.65$ |
|  |  | (198-213) | (271-301) | (276-280) | (290-319) | (357-368) | (435-483) |
| Tail | 159 | 88.33 | 182.5 | 166.00 | 163.00 | 199 | 242.4 |
|  |  | $\pm 4.73$ | $\pm 8.81$ | $\pm 4.36$ | $\pm 16.97$ | $\pm 1.41$ | $\pm 9.94$ |
|  |  | (83-92) | (173-193) | (163-171) | (151-175) | (198-200) | (233-258) |
| HB | 129 | 118.33 | 100 | 112.00 | 145.50 | 163.5 | 213.25 |
|  |  | $\pm 3.06$ | $\pm 5.6$ | $\pm 6.24$ | $\pm 5.8$ | $\pm 6.36$ | $\pm 9.14$ |
|  |  | (115-121) | (95-108) | (105-117) | (139-153) | (159-168) | (200-221) |
| HF | 36 | 28 | 30 | 30.67 | 37.25 | 37.5 | 51.4 |
|  |  | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0.82$ | $\pm 1.15$ | $\pm 1.89$ | $\pm 0.71$ | $\pm 1.82$ |
|  |  | (28-28) | (29-31) | (30-32) | (36-40) | (37-38) | (49-53) |
| Ear | 11 | 18.33 | 18.25 | 19.33 | 22.00 | 21.5 | 33.4 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.58$ | $\pm 1.71$ | $\pm 0.58$ | $\pm 1.41$ | $\pm 0.71$ | $\pm 1.14$ |
|  |  | (18-19) | (16-20) | (19-20) | (21-24) | (21-22) | (32-35) |
| Mass | 64 | 47.67 | 26.83 | 40.00 | 86.50 | 103.5 | 274.33 |
|  |  | $\pm 5.13$ | $\pm 3.06$ | $\pm 1$ | $\pm 7.55$ | $\pm 6.36$ | $\pm 47.82$ |
|  |  | (42-52) | (24-30) | (39-41) | (76-92) | (99-108) | (220-310) |
| GLS | 30.73 | 33.04 | 31.8 | 31.65 | 39.42 | 43.28 | 53.39 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.65$ | $\pm 0.52$ | $\pm 0.58$ | $\pm 0.68$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 1.89$ |
|  |  | (32.5-32.9) | (31.08-31.93) | (30.99-32.08) | (38.52-40.13) | (43.23-43.32) | (50.33-55.29) |
| ZB | 15.83 | 14.07 | 13.09 | 13.87 | 15.25 | 15.24 | 23.2 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.12$ | $\pm 0.24$ | $\pm 0.16$ | $\pm 0.22$ | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 1$ |
|  |  | (13.98-14.15) | (12.83-13.29) | (13.73-14.04) | (14.96-15.42) | (14.96-15.52) | (21.87-24.51) |
| LON | 9.00 | 11.64 | 11.81 | 11.26 | 13.30 | 16.24 | 22.91 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.69$ | $\pm 0.37$ | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.61$ | $\pm 0.05$ | $\pm 1.37$ |
|  |  | (11.07-12.41) | (11.45-12.32) | (10.91-11.84) | (12.76-14.02) | (16.2-16.27) | (20.89-24.52) |
| LR | 7.77 | 12.52 | 12.02 | 11.91 | 13.83 | 17.55 | 21.3 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.18$ | $\pm 0.54$ | $\pm 0.78$ | $\pm 0.61$ | $\pm 0$ | $\pm 0.91$ |
|  |  | (12.31-12.65) | (11.55-12.72) | (11.06-12.61) | (13.19-14.36) | (17.55-17.55) | (20.06-22.43) |
| BR | 4.30 | 5.06 | 4.9 | 4.18 | 4.70 | 4.98 | 7.43 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.32$ | $\pm 0.21$ | $\pm 0.73$ | $\pm 0.25$ | $\pm 0.11$ | $\pm 0.54$ |
|  |  | (4.76-5.39) | (4.68-5.16) | (3.52-4.97) | (4.41-5.01) | (4.9-5.05) | (6.77-8.12) |

......continued on the next page

TABLE 2. (Continued)

| Genus Species | Waiomys mamasae | Melasmothrix naso | Sommeromys macrorhinos | Tateomys macrocercus | Tateomys rhinogradoides | Paucidentomys vermidax | Echiothrix <br> leucura |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IB | 4.89 | 6.19 | 5.61 | 6.10 | 6.94 | 5.96 | 7.12 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.08$ | $\pm 0.16$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.12$ | $\pm 0.32$ | $\pm 0.48$ |
|  |  | (6.12-6.28) | (5.45-5.80) | (6.03-6.14) | (6.84-7.1) | (5.73-6.18) | (6.7-7.71) |
| BZP | 1.60 | 1.37 | 1.43 | 1.14 | 1.42 | 1.27 | 3.46 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.18$ | $\pm 0.03$ | $\pm 0.16$ | $\pm 0.14$ | $\pm 0.12$ | $\pm 0.27$ |
|  |  | (1.26-1.64) | (1.39-1.47) | (1.04-1.32) | (1.32-1.63) | (1.18-1.35) | (3.19-3.88) |
| BBC | 14.49 | 14.22 | 13.24 | 14.39 | 15.82 | 15.36 | 18.91 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.28$ | $\pm 0.36$ | $\pm 0.25$ | $\pm 0.35$ | $\pm 0.76$ | $\pm 0.55$ |
|  |  | (13.85-14.51) | (12.75-13.60) | (14.17-14.66) | (15.46-16.2) | (14.82-15.9) | (18.44-19.83) |
| HBC | 10.57 | 9.12 | 10.43 | 9.89 | 10.30 | 11.09 | 14.15 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.7$ | $\pm 0.39$ | $\pm 0.44$ | $\pm 0.49$ | $\pm 0.32$ | $\pm 0.33$ |
|  |  | (8.6-10.11) | (10.15-11.00) | (9.41-10.28) | (9.82-10.89) | (10.86-11.31) | (13.6-14.46) |
| PPL | 11.76 | 12.28 | 9.58 | 11.10 | 16.22 | 17.85 | 18.16 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.12$ | $\pm 0.37$ | $\pm 0.08$ | $\pm 0.29$ | $\pm 0.5$ | $\pm 0.65$ |
|  |  | (12.1-12.33) | (9.10-10.00) | (11.02-11.17) | (15.84-16.55) | (17.49-18.2) | (17.22-18.75) |
| LD | 8.26 | 6.68 | 6.76 | 7.07 | 9.12 | 10.28 | 17.51 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.79$ | $\pm 0.43$ | $\pm 0.91$ | $\pm 1.06$ |  | $\pm 2.51$ |
|  |  | (5.74-7.67) | (6.20-7.16) | (6.03-7.7) | (7.99-10.04) |  | (15.02-20.32) |
| LBP | 7.59 | 6.22 | 6.02 | 6.61 | 8.10 | 9.85 | 10.6 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.29$ | $\pm 0.26$ | $\pm 0.12$ | $\pm 0.41$ | $\pm 7.78$ | $\pm 0.97$ |
|  |  | (5.9-6.60) | (5.87-6.28) | (6.48-6.7) | (7.62-8.62) | (357-368) | (9.57-12.2) |
| LIF | 4.40 | 4.78 | 2.9 | 3.98 | 5.46 | 5.64 | 9.86 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.28$ | $\pm 0.36$ | $\pm 0.28$ | $\pm 0.42$ | $\pm 7.78$ | $\pm 0.67$ |
|  |  | (4.4-5.06) | (2.67-3.32) | (3.71-4.27) | (4.97-5.85) | (357-368) | (9.08-10.76) |
| BIF | 2.31 | 1.83 | 1.92 | 1.96 | 1.97 | 1.91 | 3.35 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.09$ | $\pm 0.19$ | $\pm 0.09$ | $\pm 0.03$ | $\pm 7.78$ | $\pm 0.15$ |
|  |  | (1.73-1.94) | (1.71-2.07) | (1.87-2.05) | (1.95-2.01) | (357-368) | (3.19-3.51) |
| BMF | 2.17 | 1.81 | 2.01 | 1.87 | 2.26 | 1.63 | 2.49 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.16$ | $\pm 0.15$ | $\pm 0.09$ | $\pm 0.12$ | $\pm 7.78$ | $\pm 0.18$ |
|  |  | (1.68-1.99) | (1.86-2.17) | (1.77-1.95) | (2.13-2.41) | (357-368) | (2.3-2.77) |
| LB | 4.05 | 5.37 | 4.28 | 4.65 | 5.25 | 5.65 | 5.88 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.31$ | $\pm 0.22$ | $\pm 0.4$ | $\pm 0.34$ | $\pm 7.78$ | $\pm 0.25$ |
|  |  | (5.03-5.73) | (4.07-4.47) | (4.19-4.93) | (4.75-5.51) |  |  |
| CLMM | 4.96 | 4.78 | 4.44 | 4.94 | 5.43 |  | 6.23 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 0.19$ | $\pm 0.34$ | $\pm 0.16$ |  | $\pm 0.19$ |
|  |  |  | (4.30-4.57) | (4.7-5.18) | (5.32-5.54) |  | (6.09-6.36) |
| BUM | 1.80 | 1.79 | 1.45 | 1.77 | 1.92 |  | 2.07 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.07$ | $\pm 0.01$ |  | $\pm 0.16$ |
|  |  |  | (1.40-1.50) | (1.72-1.82) | (1.91-1.92) |  | (1.96-2.18) |
| BUI | 0.50 | 0.97 | 0.98 | 0.77 | 1.10 | 0.91 | 1.2 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.46$ | $\pm 0.13$ | $\pm 0.07$ |  | $\pm 0.08$ |

TABLE 2. (Continued)

| Genus Species | Waiomys mamasae | Melasmothrix naso | Sommeromys macrorhinos | Tateomys macrocercus | Tateomys <br> rhinogradoides | Paucidentomys vermidax | Echiothrix leucura |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DUI | 1.23 | (0.92-1.06) | (0.65-1.30) | (0.63-0.89) | (1-1.15) | 0.76 | (1.09-1.3) |
|  |  | 0.97 | 1.42 | 1.01 | 0.99 |  | 1.89 |
|  |  | $\pm 0.22$ |  | $\pm 0.2$ | $\pm 0.38$ |  | $\pm 0.13$ |
| LDII | 19.74 | (0.81-1.29) |  | (0.78-1.13) | (0.64-1.35) |  | (1.72-2.04) |
|  |  | 19.55 | 15.89 | 18.79 | 24.86 |  | 37.73 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 0.58$ | $\pm 0.1$ | $\pm 0.35$ |  | $\pm 0.87$ |
| LDEI | 13.74 | 16.28 | (19-20) | (18.72-18.86) | (24.61-25.11) |  | (37.11-38.34) |
|  |  |  | 12.99 | 15.32 | 19.90 |  | 26.52 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 0.58$ | $\pm 0.67$ | $\pm 0.44$ |  | $\pm 0.33$ |
| CLMa | 4.89 | 4.44 | (19-20) | (14.84-15.79) | (19.59-20.21) |  | (26.28-26.75) |
|  |  |  | 4.24 | 4.60 | 5.14 |  | 6.27 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 0.58$ | $\pm 0.04$ | $\pm 0.21$ |  | $\pm 0.13$ |
| BLM | 1.61 | 1.2 | (19-20) | (4.57-4.63) | (4.99-5.29) |  | (6.18-6.36) |
|  |  |  | 1.14 | 1.34 | 1.29 |  | 1.57 |
|  |  |  | $\pm 0.58$ | $\pm 0.06$ | $\pm 0.02$ |  | $\pm 0.07$ |
|  |  |  | (19-20) | (1.29-1.38) | (1.27-1.3) |  | (1.52-1.62) |

Relative to its body size, the skull of Waiomys is shorter than those found in any of the shrew rats of Sulawesi and is comparable to or shorter in absolute length than the skull of the much smaller Sommeromys (Table 2). The shortness of the skull is produced primarily by the lack of rostral elongation so prominent in the other species (rostrum is $25 \%$ of skull length compared to $35-41 \%$ in the shrew rats). However, the braincase of Waiomys also is shorter than in most of the shrew rats (Table 2). The length of the post-palatal region in Waiomys is comparable to those of the smaller Tateomys macrocercus and only slightly larger than in Sommeromys. The zygomatic arches and braincase of Waiomys are much more square in appearance when viewed from the dorsal surface than in the other species. The infraorbital foramina are more ovate and broad in Waiomys, contributing to the flared and squared-off appearance of the zygomatic arches. The length of the auditory bulla in Waiomys is both absolutely ( $\mathrm{LB}=4.05$ $\mathrm{mm})$ and proportionately $(\mathrm{LB} / \mathrm{BBC}=0.28)$ shorter than in any of the shrew rats on Sulawesi $(\mathrm{LB}=4.28-5.88 \mathrm{~mm}$; $\mathrm{LB} / \mathrm{BBC}=0.31-0.38$ ). Because of the trend toward elongation of the skull in the shrew rats, we used a measure of skull width (BBC) rather than of skull length (e.g. GLS) for proportional comparison. The dental formula of Waiomys is shared with all shrew rats on Sulawesi except Paucidentomys vermidax, in which the molars are absent. The upper incisors of Waiomys are both absolutely ( $\mathrm{BUI}=0.50 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) and proportionately ( $\mathrm{BUI} / \mathrm{BBC}=0.035$ ) narrower than in any of the shrew rats $(\mathrm{BUI}=0.77-1.2 \mathrm{~mm} ; \mathrm{BUI} / \mathrm{BBC}=0.054-0.074)$. Both upper and lower incisors of Waiomys have orange enamel on the labial surface that is typical of most murines, but is absent in the shrew rats of Sulawesi. The upper molars of Waiomys are comparable in width to other shrew rats of Sulawesi (excluding Paucidentomys which lacks molars), but the lower molars are broader.

Among the water rats of New Guinea, Waiomys is similar in size to the smaller species of Hydromys (H. hussoni and H. ziegleri), and to the species of Baiyankamys (B. habbema and B. shawmayeri), but considerably smaller than Crossomys moncktoni, Hydromys chrysogaster, and Parahydromys asper (Helgen 2005). Like the montane species of water rats from New Guinea (Baiyankamys and Crossomys), Waiomys is distinguishable from the lowland water rats of New Guinea by its soft grey fur and small pinnae. Overall the fur of Waiomys is similar to that of Crossomys. However, the dorsal guard hairs of Waiomys are shorter and less prominent than those of Crossomys. The tips of the dorsal underfur in Waiomys are a paler brown than in Crossomys. The ventral underfur of Waiomys is dark grey at the base and light grey at the tip, whereas in Crossomys it is a more uniform silvery white. The dorso-ventral margin of the fur in Waiomys is similar to that of Crossomys both in its distinctiveness and its position high up on the body from the base of the nose and along the body near the base of the limbs. The white rump spots present in Waiomys are absent in Crossomys and all other New Guinea rodents. The eyes of both

Waiomys and Crossomys are greatly reduced. The pinnae of Waiomys are similar in size to those of Baiyankamys but not as reduced as in Crossomys, where the pinnae are all but absent. The tail in Waiomys, Baiyankamys, and Crossomys is much longer than the head and body. Waiomys and Crossomys share the presence of stiff, white ventral vibrissae on the tail. In Waiomys, the ventral vibrissae form a single line that terminates at the base of the tail whereas in Crossomys the vibrissae bifurcate and diverge into two lines at the base of the tail. The tail of Waiomys is similar in thickness to those of terrestrial murines, but in Crossomys the tail is substantially thicker. The lack of any webbing or hairs on the margins of the plantar surface of Waiomys distinguishes it from all water rats of New Guinea. In both Waiomys and Crossomys, the hypothenar pad is absent from the plantar surface of the hindfeet and the thenar pad is positioned on the lateral margin of the foot. Like Crossomys and Baiyankamys, the forelimbs of Waiomys are small.

The skull of Waiomys is similar in size and robustness to those of Baiyankamys and Hydromys hussoni (Table 3, Figure 6; H. hussoni comparison in Helgen 2005). Waiomys shares some cranial features with Baiyankamys and Crossomys, the montane water rats of New Guinea (Helgen 2005), to the exclusion of the lowland water rats of New Guinea. These include (1) slender and delicate zygomata that curve downward from the maxillary roots to the squamosal roots; (2) a tapering rostrum; (3) extremely narrow incisors; (4) a mesopterygoid fossa that is narrower than the width between the lingual margins of the first upper molars. In addition, two morphological characters clearly distinguish Waiomys from all water rats of New Guinea: (1) the presence in Waiomys of the third mandibular molar and (2) the absence in Waiomys of the masseteric process of the zygomatic plate.

TABLE 3. External, cranial and dental measurements of Waiomys mamasae compared to water rats of New Guinea (Shown are the mean, $\pm$ one standard deviation, and the range in parentheses).

| Genus Species | Waiomys mamasae | Baiyankamys <br> habbema <br> Voss 1988 | Baiyankamys shawmayeri | Hydromys <br> hussoni <br> Voss 1988 | Hydromys hussoni | Hydryomys chrysogaster | Crossomys moncktoni | Parahydromys asper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TTL | 288 |  | 284 |  | 277 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 592.5 \\ & \pm 26.61 \\ & (565-620) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 452.33 \\ & \pm 13.58 \\ & (438-465) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 411.67 \\ & \pm 92.51 \\ & (305-470) \end{aligned}$ |
| Tail | 159 | $\begin{aligned} & 172.3 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 165 | $\begin{aligned} & 126.4 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 133 | $\begin{aligned} & 304.5 \\ & \pm 55.12 \\ & (260-385) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250 \\ & \pm 10.00 \\ & (240-260) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 248.33 \\ & \pm 17.56 \\ & (230-250) \end{aligned}$ |
| HB | 129 | $\begin{aligned} & 148.6 \\ & \pm 8.1 \\ & (134-160) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 147.9 \\ & \pm 15.4 \\ & (122-171) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
| HF | 36 | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \\ & \pm 1.2 \\ & (35-39) \end{aligned}$ | 33 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.8 \\ & \pm 2 \\ & (17-33) \end{aligned}$ | 27 | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \\ & \pm 5.2 \\ & (70-79) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48.5 \\ & \pm 6.36 \\ & (44-53) \end{aligned}$ | 50 |
| Ear | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.6 \\ & \pm 0.6 \\ & (7-9) \end{aligned}$ | 14 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.1 \\ & \pm 0.8 \\ & (11-14) \end{aligned}$ | 12 | $\begin{aligned} & 20.25 \\ & \pm 8.66 \\ & (14-33) \end{aligned}$ |  | 15 |
| Mass | 64 |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 782.75 \\ & \pm 96.67 \\ & (680-879) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| GLS | 30.73 | $\begin{aligned} & 32.7 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 33.42 | $\begin{aligned} & 30.5 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 30.88 | $\begin{aligned} & 59.72 \\ & \pm 1.37 \\ & (58.4-61.59) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40.8 \\ & \pm 0.85 \\ & (39.92-41 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 44.57 \\ & \pm 0.15 \\ & (44.4-44.66) \end{aligned}$ |

......continued on the next page

TABLE 3. (Continued)

| Genus <br> Species | Waiomys mamasae | Baiyankamys <br> habbema <br> Voss 1988 | Baiyankamys shawmayeri | Hydromys <br> hussoni <br> Voss 1988 | Hydromys <br> hussoni | Hydryomys <br> chrysogaster | Crossomys moncktoni | Parahydromys asper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ZB | 15.83 | $\begin{aligned} & 17.2 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 16.67 | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 15.42 | $\begin{aligned} & 29.88 \\ & \pm 1.28 \\ & (29.13-31.8) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.25 \\ & \pm 0.73 \\ & (21.42-23 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25.31 \\ & \pm 0.18 \\ & (25.14-25.5) \end{aligned}$ |
| LON | 9.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 11.2 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 10.35 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.8 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 10.45 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.14 \\ & \pm 1.38 \\ & (20.11-23.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.91 \\ & \pm 0.95 \\ & (8.75-10.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.1 \\ & \pm 0.23 \\ & (12.84-13.27) \end{aligned}$ |
| LR | 7.77 |  | 10.17 |  | 8.10 | $\begin{aligned} & 19.23 \\ & \pm 1.07 \\ & (18.05-20.23 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.6 \\ & \pm 0.74 \\ & (10.53-12 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14.11 \\ & \pm 0.48 \\ & (13.6-14.54) \end{aligned}$ |
| BR | 4.30 |  | 5.44 |  | 5.43 | $\begin{aligned} & 12.55 \\ & \pm 0.5 \\ & (12.19-13.28 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.05 \\ & \pm 0.63 \\ & (7.32-8.68) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.51 \\ & \pm 0.66 \\ & (10.75-11.94) \end{aligned}$ |
| IB | 4.89 |  | 5.20 |  | 4.98 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.08 \\ & \pm 0.19 \\ & (7.85-8.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.64 \\ & \pm 0.34 \\ & (5.15-5.93) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.63 \\ & \pm 0.51 \\ & (8.31-9.22) \end{aligned}$ |
| BZP | 1.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 1.18 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 1.63 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.96 \\ & \pm 0.47 \\ & (2.35-3.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.98 \\ & \pm 0.04 \\ & (1.91-2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.18 \\ & \pm 0.27 \\ & (1.97-2.48) \end{aligned}$ |
| BBC | 14.49 |  | 14.88 |  | 13.70 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.89 \\ & \pm 0.72 \\ & (21.11-22.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19.89 \\ & \pm 0.43 \\ & (19.48-20 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.22 \\ & \pm 0.79 \\ & (21.4-22.98) \end{aligned}$ |
| HBC | 10.57 |  | 12.10 |  | 10.80 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.81 \\ & \pm 1.07 \\ & (15.82-18.31 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.37 \\ & \pm 0.22 \\ & (13.21-13 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.5 \\ & \pm 0.98 \\ & (14.47-16.41) \end{aligned}$ |
| PPL | 11.76 |  | 13.12 |  | 12.42 | $\begin{aligned} & 21.82 \\ & \pm 0.52 \\ & (21.28-22.43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.08 \\ & \pm 0.65 \\ & (14.59-16 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15.51 \\ & \pm 0.22 \\ & (15.3-15.74) \end{aligned}$ |
| LD | 8.26 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.7 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 8.24 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.5 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 8.47 | $\begin{aligned} & 16.77 \\ & \pm 0.82 \\ & (16.12-17.96 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.13 \\ & \pm 0.48 \\ & (10.63-11.6 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11.45 \\ & \pm 0.2 \\ & (11.23-11.6) \end{aligned}$ |
| LBP | 7.59 |  | 7.74 |  | 8.15 | $\begin{aligned} & 14.72 \\ & \pm 0.11 \\ & (14.62-14.87 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10.48 \\ & \pm 0.65 \\ & (9.82-11.11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13.86 \\ & \pm 0.21 \\ & (13.67-14.08) \end{aligned}$ |
| LIF | 4.40 | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 3.41 | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 3.07 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.77 \\ & \pm 0.68 \\ & (4.79-6.26) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.12 \\ & \pm 0.27 \\ & (4.76-5.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.51 \\ & \pm 0.31 \\ & (4.25-4.85) \end{aligned}$ |
| BIF | 2.31 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 2.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 2.00 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.44 \\ & \pm 0.34 \\ & (2.94-3.69) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.61 \\ & \pm 0.12 \\ & (2.52-2.77) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.71 \\ & \pm 0.25 \\ & (2.54-3) \end{aligned}$ |

TABLE 3. (Continued)

| Genus <br> Species | Waiomys mamasae | Baiyankamys <br> habbema <br> Voss 1988 | Baiyankamys shawmayeri | Hydromys <br> hussoni <br> Voss 1988 | Hydromys hussoni | Hydryomys chrysogaster | Crossomys moncktoni | Parahydromys asper |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BMF | 2.17 |  | 2.74 |  | 2.54 | $\begin{aligned} & 4.81 \\ & \pm 0.15 \\ & (4.66-5) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.7 \\ & \pm 0.41 \\ & (3.26-4.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.4 \\ & \pm 0.36 \\ & (4.03-4.75) \end{aligned}$ |
| LB | 4.05 |  | 3.70 |  | 3.84 | $\begin{aligned} & 6.43 \\ & \pm 0.18 \\ & (6.25-6.66) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.22 \\ & \pm 0.55 \\ & (3.54-4.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.15 \\ & \pm 0.1 \\ & (5.04-5.22) \end{aligned}$ |
| CLMM | 4.96 | $\begin{aligned} & 5.1 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.6 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 4.53 | $\begin{aligned} & 8.41 \\ & \pm 0.31 \\ & (8.08-8.83) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.31 \\ & \pm 0.08 \\ & (5.2-5.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8.58 \\ & \pm 0.12 \\ & (8.45-8.68) \end{aligned}$ |
| BUM | 1.80 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 1.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.01 \\ & \pm 0.29 \\ & (2.7-3.29) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.22 \\ & \pm 0.08 \\ & (2.14-2.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.71 \\ & \pm 0.28 \\ & (2.45-3) \end{aligned}$ |
| BUI | 0.50 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.5 \\ & \pm 12.5 \\ & (154-187) \end{aligned}$ | 0.98 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 \\ & \pm 13.8 \\ & (103-152) \end{aligned}$ | 0.96 | $\begin{aligned} & 1.79 \\ & \pm 0.13 \\ & (1.63-1.92) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.06 \\ & \pm 0.05 \\ & (1-1.13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.33 \\ & \pm 0.18 \\ & (1.22-1.54) \end{aligned}$ |
| DUI | 1.23 |  | 1.47 |  | 1.55 | $\begin{aligned} & 3.34 \\ & \pm 0.24 \\ & (3-3.56) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.69 \\ & \pm 0.11 \\ & (1.6-1.84) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.34 \\ & \pm 0.16 \\ & (2.15-2.44) \end{aligned}$ |
| LDII | 19.74 |  | 18.86 |  | 19.60 | $\begin{aligned} & 38.6 \\ & \pm 1.77 \\ & (37.1-41.11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27.01 \\ & \pm 0.7 \\ & (26.08-27 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30.09 \\ & \pm 1.04 \\ & (29.17-31.22) \end{aligned}$ |
| LDEI | 13.74 |  | 17.62 |  | 14.68 | $\begin{aligned} & 31.06 \\ & \pm 1.41 \\ & (29.99-32.99 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22.35 \\ & \pm 0.42 \\ & (21.9-22.9) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24.64 \\ & \pm 0.04 \\ & (24.59-24.67) \end{aligned}$ |
| CLMaM | 4.89 |  | 4.70 |  | 4.63 | $\begin{aligned} & 9.34 \\ & \pm 0.55 \\ & (8.54-9.82) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.96 \\ & \pm 0.1 \\ & (5.8-6.02) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9.05 \\ & \pm 0.49 \\ & (8.5-9.45) \end{aligned}$ |
| BLM | 1.61 |  | 1.32 |  | 1.61 | $\begin{aligned} & 2.88 \\ & \pm 0.2 \\ & (2.67-3.14) \\ & (2.67-3.14) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.09 \\ & \pm 0.11 \\ & (2.02-2.25) \\ & (2.02-2.25) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.93 \\ & \pm 0.28 \\ & (2.62-3.18) \\ & (2.62-3.18) \end{aligned}$ |

## Discussion

Several traits support the conclusion that Waiomys mamasae is specialized to a lifestyle of semi-aquatic feeding on invertebrates. The sole specimen was collected while swimming in a stream and the local people of Mamasa indicated to us that, although uncommonly ovserved, it is always found in streams. According to Mamasan legend the aquatic affinities of Waiomys mamasae imbue it with powers to protect homes from fire and hence people have a custom of preserving specimens in their homes. The mouth and stomach of the type specimen contained only stream invertebrates, specifically taxa that cling to the surfaces of rock, indicating that the species forages in streams and likely dives while foraging. Although the hindfeet of Waiomys lack any webbing or hairs on the lateral
margins of the feet, the ventral vibrissae of the tail are characteristic of other semi-aquatic small mammals including Crossomys and Nectogale (Voss 1988). Compared to its terrestrial invertebrate-eating counterparts on Sulawesi, i.e. shrew rats, Waiomys has several features that Voss (1988) identified as characteristic of semi-aquatic, carnivorous small mammals: (1) stiffer and denser mystacial vibrissae; (2) a reduced philtrum; (3) smaller pinnae that are covered in hair; (4) long, stiff hairs on the ventral surface of the tail; (5) metatarsals ordered in size from $\mathrm{IV}>\mathrm{III}>\mathrm{II}>\mathrm{V}>\mathrm{I}$ (similar to Hydromys); (6) large, ovate infraorbital foramina; and (7) a large foramen magnum. The reduced pinnae and soft dense fur are characteristic of the montane semi-aquatic murines of New Guinea, suggesting convergence to this particular ecological condition.

Our phylogenetic analyses demonstrated that Waiomys mamasae is related to terrestrial carnivorous rodents of Sulawesi and is not closely related to other semi-aquatic rodents in Murinae. The discovery of a novel origin of a semi-aquatic, invertebrate-eating murine rodent provides another strong example of convergent evolution in the Indo-Australian Archipelago, where previously, semi-aquatic rodents were known only from the continent of Sahul. In both cases, semi-aquatic rodents are allied to an endemic group of invertebrate-eating, terrestrial rodents, suggesting that opportunities for semi-aquatic lifestyles in murines of the Indo-Australian Archipelago are linked to adaptations to carnivory.

Biodiversity of Sulawesi. The alpha-diversity of murine rodents from Sulawesi is vastly underestimated. Since 1969, nine species descriptions have been published. These are largely based on fieldwork from the 1970's and detailed examination of museum specimens, with some descriptions based on a single specimen (Musser 1969; Musser 1981; Musser 1982; Musser 1991; Musser \& Durden 2002; Musser 2014). Some of these publications include reference to additional undescribed species (e.g. Musser 1982) and hence more descriptions are expected. In 2012 two species (one a new genus) were described from specimens recently collected from Sulawesi (Esselstyn et al. 2012; Mortelliti et al. 2012). The description here, of Waiomys, brings the number of endemic murine rodent genera to 12 . Moreover, the indication by local residents that they collect and preserve Waiomys as talismans, to the complete ignorance of the scientific world, is further indication of how limited scientific knowledge of Sulawesi's biodiversity remains. In sum, these circumstances highlight the degree to which both alpha-diversity and ecomorphological diversity of the murine rodents of Sulawesi are underrepresented by current taxonomy.

Both of the recently described Sulawesi endemic murine genera (Waiomys and Paucidentomys) were collected from highlands of the southern portion of the west-central region of Sulawesi's central core. The west-central region extends west from Lake Poso and south from Palu to Mt. Latimojong (Musser et al. 2010). Studies of tarsiers suggest that the west-central region may represent an area of endemism distinct from the east-central region (Merker et al. 2009). However, few systematic surveys of small mammals have been conducted in the highlands of the region and the geographic limits of species are unknown. The discovery of Waiomys and Paucidentomys and their apparent absence from the relatively intensively studied areas around Lore Lindu in the east-central region (reviewed in Musser 2014), lend further support to the biogeographic significance of the west-central highlands of Sulawesi's central core.

Conservation. In the highlands of west-central Sulawesi, the high plateau of Mount Gandangdewata and the Quarles Range north of Mamasa is a prime example of old-growth tropical forest in need of biological inventory. It represents the largest intact area of old growth forest on Sulawesi (Cannon et al. 2007) and protects a massive watershed providing water to numerous surrounding communities. During our surveys of small mammals in 2011 and 2012 at localities from $200-2600$ meters in elevation, we detected at least 22 murine species. We encountered most of this diversity in forests above 1500 m , where we surveyed parts of Mt. Gandangdewata north of the village of Rantepangko. The excellent condition of forests in this area is in no small part a result of the cultural practices of the local people of Mamasa who limit clearing for plantations or other agriculture to areas below 1500 meters. However, the persistence of these forests, despite their remoteness, is uncertain, particularly because of the high mineral value of the region and the expansion of development in the new province of Sulawesi Barat.
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APPENDIX A. Specimens examined.

Baiyankamys shawmayeri: AM M8661
Crossomys moncktoni: AM M14163, AM M15454, AM M8652, AM M9543
Echiothrix leucura: AMNH 101243, AMNH 153013, AMNH 225680, AMNH 225685, MZB 27875
Hydromys hussoni: AM M18627
Hydryomys chrysogaster: NMV C25843, NMV C26872, NMV C32103, NMV C32104
Melasmothrix naso: FMNH 213284, FMNH 213447, FMNH 213448, NMV C37064
Parahydromys asper: AM M14164, AM M15370, AM M9541
Paucidentomys vermidax: FMNH 213102, MZB 35001
Sommeromys macrorhinos: AMNH 226956, MZB 34758, MZB 34903, NMV C37074
Tateomys macrocercus: FMNH 213450, NMV C37080, NMV C37081
Tateomys rhinogradoides: FMNH 213338, FMNH 213434, NMV C37082, NMV C37083
APPENDIX B. GenBank accession numbers for sequences used in this study.

| Taxon | Voucher | Voucher Institution | cytb | IRBP | GHR | RAG1 | BRCA1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Abeomelomys sevia | KUMNH 161033 | University of Kansas Natural History Museum | EU249730 | EU349832 | EU349793 | EU349879 | EU349682 |
| Anisomys imitator | ABTC 45107 | South Australian Museum | DQ019090 | EU349833 | DQ019052 | DQ023471 | na |
| Apodemus agrarius | MVZ 159220 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | EU349733 | AB096842 | DQ019054 | DQ023472 | EU349658 |
| Apomys datae | FMNH 167243 | Field Museum of Natural History | HM371072 | EU349836 | KJ607288 | KC953478 | KC953158 |
| Apomys hylocoetes | FMNH 147871/FMNH 148149 | Field Museum of Natural History | AY324469 | KC953357 | AY294915 | AY294942 | AY295000 |
| Archboldomys luzonensis | EAR 1826 | Eric A Rickart | EU349736 | EU349956 | EU349794 | DQ023466 | EU349675 |
| Arvicanthis neumanni | H894 | na | EU349737 | KC953358 | AY294918 | AY294946 | EU349648 |
| Bandicota bengalensis | T065 | na | AM408336 | AM408331 | AM910945 | na | na |
| Bandicota savilei | R1191 | na | HM217385 | HM217665 | na | na | na |
| Batomys granti | EAR 1822/EAR 2001 | Eric A Rickart | EU349738 | EU349838 | AY294917 | AY241461 | AY295002 |
| Berylmys berdmorei | R3618 | na | HM217432 | HM217639 | na | na | na |
| Berylmys bowersi | MVZ 186482/T-861 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | AM408337 | AM407896 | DQ019056 | DQ023457 | KC953160 |
| Bullimus bagobus | USNM 58789/USNM 458785/USNM 458789 | United States National Museum | DQ191472 | DQ191498 | GQ405369 | na | na |
| Bullimus gamay | FMNH 154823/FMNH 154821 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191473 | DQ191499 | GQ405370 | na | na |
| Bullimus luzonicus | FMNH 169127/FMNH 167310 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191474 | DQ191500 | GQ405371 | na | na |
| Bunomys chrysocomus | ABTC 65755 | South Australian Museum | EU349704 | EU349839 | EU349795 | EU349880 | EU349667 |
| Carpomys phaeurus | FMNH 175565 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191475 | DQ191501 | GQ405373 | na | na |
| Chiromyscus chiropus | ABTC 69097 | South Australian Museum | EU349705 | EU349840 | EU349796 | EU349881 | EU349665 |
| Chiropodomys gliroides | FMNH 212935 | Field Museum of Natural History | KJ607274 | KJ607299 | KJ607289 | na | KJ607263 |
| Chiruromys vates | ABTC 43096 | South Australian Museum | KJ607275 | KJ607300 | KJ607290 | na | na |
| Chrotomys gonzalesi | EAR 1850 | Eric A Rickart | EU349742 | EU349843 | KJ607291 | EU349884 | na |
| Chrotomys sibuyanensis | FMNH 145701 | Field Museum of Natural History | AY687862 | DQ191504 | GQ405376 | na | na |
| Chrotomys silaceus | FMNH 169133/FMNH 175725 | Field Museum of Natural History | AY687859 | DQ191502 | GQ405377 | na | na |
| Chrotomys whiteheadi | FMNH 193962 | Field Museum of Natural History | AY687864 | JQ898074 | na | na | na |
| Conilurus penicillatus | ABTC 7411 | South Australian Museum | EU349743 | EU349844 | DQ019057 | DQ023467 | EU349694 |
| Crateromys heaneyi | CMC 776/CMNH M628 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | DQ191476 | DQ191505 | GQ405378 | na | na |
| Crossomys moncktoni | ABTC 46614 | South Australian Museum | KJ607276 | KJ607301 | KJ607292 | KJ607310 | na |
| Crunomys celebensis | NMV C37047 | Museum Victoria | KC878028 | KC878203 | KC878172 | KJ607311 | KJ607264 |
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| Taxon | Voucher | Voucher Institution | cytb | IRBP | GHR | RAG1 | BRCA1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crunomys melanius | FMNH 147105 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191477 | DQ191506 | GQ405379 | na | na |
| Crunomys suncoides | FMNH 147942 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191478 | DQ191507 | na | na | na |
| Dacnomys millardi | MVZ 186519 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | KC878029 | KC878206 | DQ019058 | DQ023459 | KC953169 |
| Deomys ferrugineus | FMNH 149427/AMNH 269864 | Field Museum of Natural History/American Museum of Natural History | EU349745 | KC953373 | AY294922 | AY241460 | AY295007 |
| Diplothrix legata | HS 1163 | Hitoshi Suzuki | AB033696 | AB033706 | EU349799 | EU349885 | EU349670 |
| Gerbilliscus robustus | FMNH 158105/FMNH 151230 | Field Museum of Natural History | AJ875235 | AY326113 | AY294920 | AY294949 | AY295005 |
| Gerbillus gerbillus | CMNH 113822/CMNH 113823 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | JN652802 | EU349846 | DQ019049 | DQ023452 | EU349700 |
| Grammomys ibeanus | FMNH 151235 | Field Museum of Natural History | KJ607277 | KC953380 | EU349801 | KC953503 | KC953174 |
| Heimyscus fumosus | MNHN 2001-76/MNHN 2001-064 | Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle | AF518333 | DQ022397 | AM910953 | na | na |
| Hybomys univittatus | CMNH 108044/CMNH 108039 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | KJ607278 | KC953383 | DQ019059 | KC953508 | KC953181 |
| Hydromys chrysogaster | ABTC 45619/KUMNH 160729/KUMNH 160730 | South Australian Museum/Univ. Kansas Museum of Natural History | EU349748 | EU349849 | EU349804 | EU349890 | EU349699 |
| Hyomys goliath | ABTC 42697 | South Australian Museum | EU349710 | KC953384 | EU349805 | EU349891 | EU349679 |
| Leggadina forresti | ABTC 36085 | South Australian Museum | EU349751 | EU349850 | DQ019061 | DQ023468 | EU349686 |
| Leopoldamys edwardsi | MVZ 186501 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | KJ607279 | HM217687 | na | KJ607312 | na |
| Leopoldamys neilli | R4486 | na | HM217460 | HM217697 | na | na | na |
| Leopoldamys sabanus | CMNH 102138 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | KJ607280 | KJ607302 | DQ019063 | KC953513 | KC953186 |
| Leporillus conditor | ABTC 13335 | South Australian Museum | EU349711 | EU349851 | EU349806 | EU349892 | EU349692 |
| Leptomys elegans | ABTC 45741 | South Australian Museum | EU349712 | EU349852 | EU349807 | EU349893 | EU349697 |
| Limnomys bryophilus | FMNH 147970 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191479 | DQ191508 | GQ405380 | na | na |
| Limnomys sibuanus | FMNH 147947 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191480 | DQ191509 | GQ405381 | na | na |
| Lophuromys aquilus | FMNH 144777 | Field Museum of Natural History | EU349754 | AY326091 | AY294921 | AY294950 | AY295006 |
| Lorentzimys nouhuysi | ABTC 42732/KUMNH 160731 | South Australian Museum/University of Kansas Natural History Museum | EU349713 | KC953392 | EU349808 | EU349894 | EU349680 |
| Macruromys major | ABTC 43909 | South Australian Museum | EU349714 | EU349853 | EU349809 | EU349895 | EU349678 |
| Malacomys longipes | CMNH 108118 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | EU349757 | DQ022393 | DQ019064 | DQ023474 | EU349656 |
| Mallomys rothschildi | ABTC 47402 | South Australian Museum | EU349715 | EU349854 | EU349810 | EU349896 | EU349681 |
| Mammelomys lanosus | ABTC 47208 | South Australian Museum | EU349716 | EU349855 | EU349811 | EU349897 | KC953188 |
| Mastacomys fuscus | ABTC 07354 | South Australian Museum | EU349717 | EU349856 | EU349812 | EU349898 | EU349687 |
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| Taxon | Voucher | Voucher Institution | cytb | IRBP | GHR | RAG1 | BRCA1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mastomys erythroleucus | ABTC 65697 | South Australian Museum | EU349718 | KJ607303 | AM910959 | KC953519 | KC953189 |
| Maxomys bartelsii | ABTC 48063 | South Australian Museum | EU349762 | EU349857 | DQ019066 | DQ023460 | EU349666 |
| Maxomys cf. hellwaldii | FMNH 213372 | Field Museum of Natural History | KC878039 | KC878212 | KC878174 | KJ607313 | na |
| Maxomys dollmani | MVZ 225725 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | KC878035 | KC878213 | KC878175 | na | KJ607265 |
| Maxomys hellwaldii | MVZ 225768 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | KC878044 | KC878214 | KC878176 | KJ607314 | KJ607266 |
| Maxomys hylomyoides | FMNH 212980 | Field Museum of Natural History | KC878050 | KC878218 | KC878179 | KJ607315 | na |
| Maxomys moi | ROM 111318 | Royal Ontario Museum | KC878056 | KC878219 | KC878180 | na | na |
| Maxomys muschenbroekii | MZB 34728 | Museum Zoologicum Bogoriense | KJ607281 | KJ607304 | KJ607293 | KJ607316 | KJ607267 |
| Maxomys pagensis | MZB 28335 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | KC878128 | KC878225 | KC878186 | na | na |
| Maxomys panglima | KUMNH 165356 | University of Kansas Natural History Museum | KC878129 | KC878226 | KC878187 | KJ607317 | KJ607268 |
| Maxomys rajah | MVZ 192210 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | KC878137 | KC878227 | na | KJ607318 | na |
| Maxomys surifer | ROM 107723 | Royal Ontario Museum | KC878157 | KC878234 | KC878193 | na | KJ607269 |
| Maxomys whiteheadi | ROM 113074 | Royal Ontario Museum | KJ607282 | KJ607305 | KJ607294 | na | na |
| Melasmothrix naso | NMV C37064 | Museum Victoria | KJ607283 | KJ607306 | KJ607295 | KJ607319 | KJ607270 |
| Melomys rufescens | ABTC 43071 | South Australian Museum | EU349720 | EU349860 | EU349816 | EU349902 | EU349690 |
| Mesembriomys gouldii | ABTC 07412 | South Australian Museum | EU349721 | EU349861 | EU349817 | EU349903 | EU349693 |
| Micaelamys namaquensis | RA 12 | na | DQ019089 | AM408330 | AY294914 | AY294941 | EU349649 |
| Micromys minutus | AB 033710 | Hitoshi Suzuki | AB201995 | HS 1148 | EU349818 | EU349904 | EU349664 |
| Mus musculus | Lab colony, strain balb/c | na | AF520621 | EU349863 | M33324 | AY241462 | EU349657 |
| Mus pahari | AMCC 110800 | American Museum of Natural History | EU349767 | EU349864 | KC953280 | EU349906 | na |
| Niviventer confucianus | USNM 574365 | United States National Museum | JF714942 | KC953416 | KC953293 | KC953540 | na |
| Niviventer cremoriventer | ROM F35796 | Royal Ontario Museum | KJ607284 | KC953417 | DQ019067 | KC953541 | KC953198 |
| Niviventer culturatus | MVZ 180686 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | GU479941 | KC953418 | DQ019068 | DQ023458 | KC953199 |
| Notomys fuscus | ABTC 34070 | South Australian Museum | EU349768 | EU360811 | KC953295 | EU349907 | na |
| Oenomys hypoxanthus | CMNH 102549/CMNH 102548 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | EU349769 | KC953425 | DQ019069 | DQ023464 | EU349654 |
| Otomys angoniensis | ABTC 65830 | South Australian Museum | EU349722 | AM408325 | EU349819 | EU349909 | EU349647 |
| Parahydromys asper | ABTC 45798 | South Australian Museum | EU349723 | EU349866 | EU349820 | EU349910 | EU349698 |
| Paramelomys levipes | KUMNH 160736 | University of Kansas Natural History Museum | EU349772 | EU349867 | EU349821 | EU349911 | EU349689 |
| Parotomys brantsii | H656 | na | DQ019111 | KC953432 | AY294912 | AY294939 | EU349646 |
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| Taxon | Voucher | Voucher Institution | cytb | IRBP | GHR | RAG1 | BRCA1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Paruromys dominator | NMV C37024 | Museum Victoria | KJ607285 | KJ607307 | KJ607296 | KJ607320 | KJ607271 |
| Paucidentomys vermidax | FMNH 213102 | Field Museum of Natural History | KJ607286 | KJ607308 | KJ607297 | KJ607321 | KJ607272 |
| Phloeomys pallidus | WCS 931040 | New York Bronx Zoo | EU349775 | WCS 931040 | DQ019070 | DQ023480 | EU349644 |
| Pogonomys loriae | KUMNH 160668 | University of Kansas Natural History Museum | EU349776 | KC953441 | EU349823 | EU349912 | EU349683 |
| Pogonomys macrourus | ABTC 43144 | South Australian Museum | EU349727 | EU349869 | EU349824 | EU349913 | EU349684 |
| Pogonomys sylvestris | KUMNH 161024 | University of Kansas Natural History Museum | na | GQ405365 | GQ405389 | na | na |
| Praomys jacksoni | CMNH 102583/CMNH 102584 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | EU349778 | KC953443 | DQ019071 | DQ023477 | EU349663 |
| Praomys tullbergi | CMNH 108199 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | EU349779 | DQ022413 | DQ019072 | DQ023478 | EU349662 |
| Pseudohydromys ellermani | ABTC 43920 | South Australian Museum | EU349763 | EU349858 | EU349814 | EU349900 | EU349695 |
| Pseudomys australis | ABTC 35951 | South Australian Museum | AM910936 | EU349870 | DQ019073 | DQ023469 | EU349688 |
| Rattus everetti | FMNH 142350 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191485 | DQ191513 | na | na | na |
| Rattus exulans | NK 80010 | na | NK 80010 | KC953446 | DQ019074 | DQ023455 | na |
| Rattus niobe | ABTC 42489 | South Australian Museum | na | HQ334580 | na | HQ334648 | HQ334394 |
| Rattus norvegicus | Sprague-Dawley laboratory strain | na | EU349782 | AB033709 | JF412704 | AY294938 | EU349671 |
| Rattus rattus | T820/T660/CACG A65 | Centre for Animal Conservation Genetics | na | HM217606 | AM910976 | HQ334643 | na |
| Rattus villosissimus | ABTC 00549 | South Australian Museum | EU349729 | HQ334576 | EU349826 | EU349915 | EU349673 |
| Rhynchomys isarogensis | EAR 1857/EAR 1840 | Eric A Rickart | EU349784 | KC953453 | DQ019075 | AY294944 | EU349677 |
| Solomys salebrosus | ABTC 64864 | South Australian Museum | EU349785 | EU349872 | EU349827 | EU349917 | EU349691 |
| Soricomys leonardocoi | FMNH 190982 | Field Museum of Natural History | JQ898062 | JQ898077 | na | na | na |
| Soricomys montanus | FMNH 193521 | Field Museum of Natural History | JQ898066 | JQ898076 | na | na | na |
| Soricomys musseri | FMNH 189507 | Field Museum of Natural History | JQ898071 | JQ898075 | na | na | na |
| Srilankamys ohiensis | na | na | JN009856 | JN009857 | JN009860 | na | na |
| Stochomys longicaudatus | CMNH 108122/CMNH 90877 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | EU349786 | KC953458 | DQ019076 | KC953585 | EU349652 |
| Sundamys muelleri | MVZ 192334 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | EU349787 | AY326111 | DQ019077 | DQ023456 | EU349668 |
| Tarsomys apoensis | FMNH 148178 | Field Museum of Natural History | DQ191491 | DQ191516 | GQ405395 | na | na |
| Uranomys ruddi | CMNH 113723/CMNH 113726 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | HM635858 | EU360812 | DQ019051 | DQ023454 | EU349642 |
| Uromys caudimaculatus | MVZ 193100 | Museum of Vertebrate Zoology | EU349789 | EU349875 | DQ019079 | DQ023470 | na |
| Waiomys mamasae | MZB 37000 / NMV C37027 | Museum Zoologicum Bogoriense | KJ607287 | KJ607309 | KJ607298 | KJ607322 | KJ607273 |
| Xeromys myoides | ABTC 30709 | South Australian Museum | EU349790 | EU349877 | EU349830 | EU349920 | EU349696 |
| Zelotomys hildegardeae | CMNH 102659/CMNH 102661 | Carnegie Museum of Natural History | EU349791 | DQ022396 | DQ019080 | DQ023476 | EU349661 |
| Zyzomys argurus | ABTC 07908 | South Australian Museum | EU349792 | EU349878 | EU349831 | EU349921 | EU349685 |

